UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,611	08/24/2006	Artjom Lutkov	66126(70301)	2252
21874 7590 06/27/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			AMERSON, LORI BAKER	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,611	LUTKOV, ARTJOM		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lori Amerson	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 18-26 and 28-35 is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are withdress is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-26,28-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the deposition of	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/590,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-26, 28-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18, 22-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen and Webber in view of Bystrom. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, Chen discloses all of the limitations except for a bore transverse to the member at an end. Thus, Bystrom teaches a bore transverse to a member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chen and employ a bore thru the member as taught by Bystrom in order to attach additional elements to the member.
- 4. Claims 18, 22-23 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown and Webber in view of Bystrom. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, Brown discloses all of the limitations except for a bore transverse to the member at an end. Thus, Bystrom teaches a bore transverse to a member. It would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/590,611

Art Unit: 3764

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a bore thru the member in order to attach additional elements to the member.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanso and Webber in view of Bystrom. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, Sanso discloses all of the limitations except for a bore transverse to the member at an end. Thus, Bystrom teaches a bore transverse to a member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a bore thru the member in order to attach additional elements to the member.
- 6. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanso and Webber, Bystrom in view of Brown. The rejection from the previous office action is incorporated herein. Additionally, Chen discloses all of the limitations except for a bore transverse to the member at an end. Thus, Bystrom teaches a bore transverse to a member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a bore thru the member in order to attach additional elements to the member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Tue and Thur-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on 571-272-4971. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lori Amerson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3764